

**Student IA Evaluation for Pietro Emilio Spini
Department of Economics**ECON 120C - Econometrics C (O, Mun Pyung)
Winter 2018**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 23
Number of Students Enrolled: 123**

1. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and consistently.

9 (39.1%):	Strongly Agree
7 (30.4%):	Agree
1 (4.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
6 (26.1%):	Not Applicable

2. I went to the Instructional Assistant's office hours.

7 (30.4%):	Yes
9 (39.1%):	No
7 (30.4%):	Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant's assistance during office hours was well-informed, clearly presented and valuable.

8 (34.8%):	Strongly Agree
3 (13.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
12 (52.2%):	Not Applicable

4. In general, material covered during office hours was beneficial and helpful in my overall understanding of the course.

9 (39.1%):	Strongly Agree
6 (26.1%):	Agree
7 (30.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (4.3%):	Strongly Disagree

5. I went to the Instructional Assistant's discussion sections.

16 (69.6%):	Yes
3 (13.0%):	No
4 (17.4%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to lead discussion sections.

12 (52.2%):	Strongly Agree
5 (21.7%):	Agree
1 (4.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
5 (21.7%):	Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at discussion sections.

11 (47.8%):	Strongly Agree
5 (21.7%):	Agree
3 (13.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
4 (17.4%):	Not Applicable

8. In general, discussion sections enhanced my understanding of the course material.

12 (52.2%):	Strongly Agree
6 (26.1%):	Agree
4 (17.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.3%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

9. I went to the Instructional Assistant's midterm exam review session(s).

19 (82.6%): Yes
3 (13.0%): No
1 (4.3%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant came prepared and well organized to exam reviews.

14 (60.9%): Strongly Agree
5 (21.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (4.3%): Strongly Disagree
3 (13.0%): Not Applicable

11. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately at exam reviews.

12 (52.2%): Strongly Agree
5 (21.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.3%): Disagree
1 (4.3%): Strongly Disagree
4 (17.4%): Not Applicable

12. In general, exam reviews enhanced my understanding of the course material.

13 (56.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (17.4%): Agree
3 (13.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (4.3%): Disagree
2 (8.7%): Strongly Disagree

13. The Instructional Assistant was approachable, courteous and showed interest and concern for students' learning and understanding.

13 (56.5%): Strongly Agree
6 (26.1%): Agree
1 (4.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
3 (13.0%): Not Applicable

14. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

14 (60.9%): Strongly Agree
6 (26.1%): Agree
1 (4.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (8.7%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Best TA that I ever had. He was very passionate which made his sections fun AND came very prepared so he made them very efficient.
- During the Midterm Review session, it just felt so disorganized and he kept going off on tangents that he keep admitting would not be on the exam and which held little significance to the material. I do not want to sound harsh or anything, but the review sessions could be improved immensely. There's a reason why numerous people left during the middle of the section and why people go to the Econ Tutor. I feel like Pietro can be immensely better than the Econ Tutor if he become more organized and sticks to the material at hand in terms of the class and exam. I know he can be an amazing TA because he really really is smart when it comes to the material.
- Great TA
- Had great understanding of the concepts, and was able to clearly explain them.
- He is definitely one of the top TAs that I've had and I wish he taught for the entire quarter!
- One of the best TA I had. Always there to help and explained problems so you can understand. He was truly 10/10, maybe more! definitely one of the better TA s
- One of the few TAs who speaks english. His approach was to go deep on theory so the tests would be easy for us. It worked pretty well I think but stressed me out and made it hard to follow along sometimes. He knows his stuff but I wish he would tone it down a bit sometimes.
- Pietro is a great TA, who is approachable and responsible, but he tends to overcomplicate course materials.
- Teaching style and notes from discussion were very helpful for helping me prepare for the midterm, and it really felt like he cared about the students who showed up to his discussion
- This TA is one of the best I've had over 4 years at this university. Truly cares about students' curiosity for learning and doesn't limit the topic of discussion to things only related in class but offers real knowledge about how things are used elsewhere and about how learning can be developed further later in one's career.
- too fast
- Very upbeat in discussion, and answered all questions in depth. Midterm review session was very helpful.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Economics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are

made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.